



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,533	02/03/2000	Samuel D. Naffziger	10990471-1 7597	
22879 7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPA	HARKNESS, CHARLES A		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	17
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	4 (/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/497,533	NAFFZIGER, SAMUEL D.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Charles A Harkness	2183		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ways to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 App	<u>ril 2004</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>24-46</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>24-46</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 2. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
- 3. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 24-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lesartre et. al., U.S. Patent Number 5,761,474 (herein referred to as Lesartre).
- 5. Referring to claim 24 Lesartre has taught a method for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in an instruction reordering mechanism of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order via a predefined number of ports (Lesartre column 6 lines 57-63; since in Lesartre's preferred embodiment, there are 4 ports to the execution units open per cycle, 2 for integer and 2 for floating-point, no more than 4 instructions could be allowed to launch per cycle), comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said instruction reordering mechanism having a plurality of said instructions, each said instruction port having respective logic element for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of said instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 23-28 and 42-47); and
- (b) propagating a set of signals successively during a launch cycle through said logic elements of said instruction reordering mechanism (Lesartre column 2 lines 27-42 and 60-66; and column 7 lines 29-31; and column 1 lines 10-12, plurality of instructions interpreted as

Art Unit: 2183

issuing one instruction after another and so on; the system taught by Lesartre would propagate the signals during a launch cycle so that the system knew which instructions to launch. If the signals were propagated after the launch cycle, the system would not be able to properly function; inherently, the system would have to keep track of which ports are available; otherwise the system may try to launch two slots using the same port, which what cause an error; only one slot can be launched in each port, so it is required of the system to track which port is or is not available for each clock cycle); said set of signals responsive to instruction ports and port information (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available)

wherein an instruction identified as valid for launching is launched on a select instruction port identified via propagation logic forwarded through said logic elements (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; the instruction that is valid for launching is identified via propagation logic forwarded through the logic elements; the select instruction port that the instruction is launched is the port that is associated with the slot the instruction is associated with, and thus the propagation logic indicates which port to launch) and wherein said propagation logic includes a next available instruction port identifier when an instruction port is available (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; Lesartre indicates whether the slot and its associated port, since slots are associated with a particular port, contain valid instruction or not, thus indicating whether that slot and port are available).

Application/Control Number: 09/497,533 Page 4

Art Unit: 2183

6. Referring to claim 25 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of advising each instruction of said instruction port reordering mechanism during each launch cycle either that said instruction will be launched or that said instruction will not be launched (Lesartre column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8 and column 2 lines 36-42).

- 7. Referring to claim 26 Lesartre has taught wherein said signals are propagated through said logic elements in response to logic transitions from a first logic level to a second logic level (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8; and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction).
- 8. Referring to claim 27 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions to a corresponding predefined plurality of instruction ports associated with one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- 9. Referring to claim 28 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of, after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with remaining instructions of said instruction reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).
- 10. Referring to claim 29 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the of steps:

Page 5

Art Unit: 2183

(c) after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with remaining instructions of said instruction reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected);

- (d) performing steps (b) and (c) during a single cycle associated with one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-42, the valop signal is used for rejecting both steps (b) and (c), therefore the steps must occur in a single cycle, because it is the same signal); and
- (e) communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions from said instruction reordering mechanism to a corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- 11. Referring to claim 30 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the of steps:
- (c) providing said instruction reordering mechanism in a form of a queue having a plurality of slots, each said slot having a respective one of said logic elements and means for temporarily storing a respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 18-28 and column 5 lines 26-30); and
- (d) propagating said set of said signals successively through said slots of said queue during an execution cycle (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8).

Art Unit: 2183

12. Referring to claim 31 Lesartre has taught wherein said set comprises two of more signals (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8).

- 13. Referring to claim 32 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of step:
- (c) causing said propagation through only a predefined number of said logic elements during a launch cycle (Lesartre column 12 lines 41-42).
- 14. Referring to claim 33 Lesartre has taught a method for quickly finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in a queue of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, so that the found instructions can be communicated to a corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with one or more execution resources, comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said queue having a plurality of slots, each said slot for temporarily storing a respective instruction and launching, when appropriate, execution of said respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 18-28 and column 5 lines 26-30, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and
- (b) propagating a set of signals successively through slots of said queue during a launch cycle, said set of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information to launch execution of an instruction (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available) that, when passed through a particular slot:

Application/Control Number: 09/497,533 Page 7

Art Unit: 2183

(1) selects said particular slot for launching when said particular slot is ready by asserting in said slot one or more found signals that identify one or more specific ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 7 lines 24-31);

- (2) refrains from selecting said particular slot when said particular slot is not ready by asserting in said slot a lost signal (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected);
- (3) keeps track of how many slots have been selected during said launch cycle (Lesartre column 10 lines 50-57, since the signal keeps track if a producer instruction as being present or not, it keeps track of how many slots have been selected); and
- (4) causes selection of no more than said predefined plurality of said instructions during said launch cycle; and wherein propagating occurs in response to logic transitions in only one direction (Lesartre column 10 lines 37-49, once the asserted valop signal is propagated to the other slots, it will prevent anymore slots from being selected once a dependency is found; and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction),

wherein an instruction identified as valid for launching is launched on a select instruction port identified via propagation logic forwarded through said logic elements (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; the instruction that is valid for launching is identified via propagation logic forwarded through the logic elements; the select instruction port that the instruction is launched is the port that is associated with the slot the instruction is associated with, and thus the propagation logic indicates which port to launch) and wherein said propagation logic includes a next available instruction port identifier when an instruction port is available (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61;

Art Unit: 2183

Lesartre indicates whether the slot and its associated port, since slots are associated with a particular port, contain valid instruction or not, thus indicating whether that slot and port are available).

- 15. Referring to claim 34 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of the step of communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions from said queue to said corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- 16. Referring to claim 35 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of the step of:
- (c) during said launch cycle but after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining slots associated with remaining instructions of said queue to indicate to said remaining slots that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).
- 17. Referring to claim 36 Lesartre has taught a system for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed in a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, comprising:
- (a) an instruction reordering mechanism for temporarily storing a plurality of said instructions (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-25, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and

Art Unit: 2183

Page 9

(b) a plurality of logic elements associated with said instruction reordering mechanism and associated respectively with each of said instructions in said instruction reordering mechanism for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of respective instructions, said logic elements configured to propagate a plurality of signals through said logic elements, said plurality of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information to launch execution of an instruction (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available)

wherein an instruction identified as valid for launching is launched on a select instruction port identified via propagation logic forwarded through said logic elements (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; the instruction that is valid for launching is identified via propagation logic forwarded through the logic elements; the select instruction port that the instruction is launched is the port that is associated with the slot the instruction is associated with, and thus the propagation logic indicates which port to launch) and wherein said propagation logic includes a next available instruction port identifier when an instruction port is available (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; Lesartre indicates whether the slot and its associated port, since slots are associated with a particular port, contain valid instruction or not, thus indicating whether that slot and port are available).

18. Referring to claim 37 Lesartre has taught wherein each one of said logic elements is configured to receive said set of signals from a previous logic element, to evaluate said set of signals to determine whether or not to launch a respective instruction, to modify states associated

Art Unit: 2183

with said set of signals based upon whether or not said respective instruction was launched, and to propagate said set of said signals to a later logic element (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-56 and lines 60-66 and column 7 lines 24-26).

- 19. Referring to claim 38 Lesartre has taught wherein each of said logic elements is implemented in combination logic hardware (Lesartre column 3 lines 28-32 and column 2 lines 25-29, where a latch is known to be combinational logic).
- 20. Referring to claim 39 Lesartre has taught wherein each said logic element is configured to, after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagate a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with said remaining instructions of said instruction reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).
- 21. Referring to claim 40 Lesartre has taught that the system further comprises of one or more execution resources having one of more ports to receive data from said predefined plurality of said instructions (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- Referring to claim 41 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (Lesartre figure 3 reference number 42' and column 5 lines 11-15).
- 23. Referring to claim 42 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is a multiple accumulate unit (MAC) (Lesartre figure 3 reference number 42" and column 5 lines 15-22).

Application/Control Number: 09/497,533 Page 11

Art Unit: 2183

24. Referring to claim 43 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is a cache (Lesartre figure 1 reference number 24 and column 4 lines 55-60).

- 25. Referring to claim 44 Lesartre has taught wherein said instruction reordering mechanism is a queue (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-22).
- 26. Referring to claim 45 Lesartre has taught a system further comprising of an arbitration mechanism configured to assert a start signal to one of said logic elements to initiate said propagation of said set of signals (Lesartre column 8 lines 16-25).
- 27. Referring to claim 46 Lesartre has taught a system for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in a queue of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, comprising:
- (a) queue means for storing a plurality of said instructions, said queue means having a plurality of launch logic means for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of a respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-32 and lines 42-48, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and
- (b) logic means associated with said queue, said logic means for propagating a set of signals to successive launch logic means, said set of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information to launch execution of an instruction (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available) to indicate both when and which of one or more ports of one or more execution resources are available for each said instruction and when none of said ports are available, wherein said means for propagating is responsive to logic

Art Unit: 2183

transitions in only one direction (Lesartre column 10 line 50-column 11 line 8, since the valop signal indicates whether there is a producer instruction, or an instruction being sent to the execution unit, the signal indicates whether a execution unit is available or not, and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction)

Page 12

wherein an instruction identified as valid for launching is launched on a select instruction port identified via propagation logic forwarded through said logic elements (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; the instruction that is valid for launching is identified via propagation logic forwarded through the logic elements; the select instruction port that the instruction is launched is the port that is associated with the slot the instruction is associated with, and thus the propagation logic indicates which port to launch) and wherein said propagation logic includes a next available instruction port identifier when an instruction port is available (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-61; Lesartre indicates whether the slot and its associated port, since slots are associated with a particular port, contain valid instruction or not, thus indicating whether that slot and port are available).

Response to Arguments

- 28. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/03, paper number 12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 39. In the remarks, in regard to the rejection of claim 24, Applicant argues in essence that:
 - "... Applicant's claimed method includes port selection in accordance with a next available instruction port identifier, whereas Lesartre apparently discloses forwarding an instruction to a specific port of a processor in a one-to-one relationship."

Art Unit: 2183

30. This is not found persuasive. Applicant does not indicate in the claims that the instruction, or slot, identified as valid for launching selects from a plurality of ports, let alone that there are four ports that the instruction is able to select from, according to the next available port. The claims disclose that the instruction is launched on a select instruction port identified via propagation logic. And the propagation logic will indicate which slot (and instruction) to launch, and thus the corresponding port, thereby indicating which port is to be used in the launch.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A Harkness whose telephone number is 703-305-7579. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M. with every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on 703-305-9712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-7579.

Charles Allen Harkness

Examiner

Art Unit 2183

May 15, 2004

EDDIE CHAN

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100